

From: progofficer <progofficer@aol.com>
To: nd
CC: kevin.phillips, robin.drake
Sent: Tue, 9 Apr 2019 10:29
Subject: Re: Gloucestershire Minerals Local Plan Examination

Nick

I have had the following response to your email from the Inspector -

I have identified the deletion of Stowe Hill Quarry in the MIQ's as an issue for discussion at the Hearings both in respect to the deletion itself and the effect on aggregate supply.

Given that this matter is an issue for discussion at the Hearings and taking into account the change in the Council's position, I consider that it is entirely appropriate that Breedon Southern Limited have the opportunity to submit representations and attend the Hearing Sessions.

I would be grateful if these comments could be passed on to the Planning Consultant who is representing Breedon Southern Limited in this matter and are also placed on the Examination Website.

Kind regards

Helen

Helen Wilson
Programme Officer

XXXX
www.hwa.uk.com

From: Nick Dunn
To: progofficer <progofficer@aol.com>
Sent: Mon, 8 Apr 2019 17:22
Subject: FW: Gloucestershire Minerals Local Plan Examination

Dear Mr Normington,

I am writing as the Planning Consultant for Stowe Hill Quarry, on behalf of Breedon Southern Limited (Breedon) who operate this quarry. An extension of Stowe Hill Quarry for hard rock aggregate extraction is proposed in Allocation 01 of the Gloucestershire Minerals Local Plan (GMLP). As a result of this proposed allocation, Breedon and I have supported that soundness of the GMLP.

Nevertheless, GCC have issued an Addendum to the Supporting Evidence Paper dated December 2018. Here, GCC are proposing that Allocation 01 should be deleted from the GMLP. It is our view that the site issues for Allocation 01 can be addressed and that its deletion will

result in hard rock aggregate supply issues. We also did not have the opportunity to make any representation to the December 2018 Addendum, for what we consider will result in a significant change to the deliverability of the GMLP.

Our position has therefore changed from one of support to objection and we request the opportunity to attend the hearing to support the retention of Allocation 01. If this is acceptable, I would also like the opportunity to provide a statement outlining our concerns.

I look forward to hearing from you.

Kind regards,

Nick Dunn.